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## Significant improvement in CSP

### I. Benefit of OA consistency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CSP</th>
<th>non-CSP</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Novelty</strong></td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Matching rate of the cited Reference</em></td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>Remarkable Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-obviousness</strong></td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>No improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Matching rate of the main cited References</em></td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Remarkable Improvement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### II. Benefit of Contemporariness

The consistency of the cited references saves time for rebuttal to the first OAs because we handle them at the substantially same time.

**The consistency of the cited references** saves time for rebuttal to the first OAs because we handle them at the substantially same time.
Suggestion for CSP from JIPA

I. Sharing
It will be benefit to share the most relevant prior art in other offices.
→ We suggest the offices to indicate the most relevant prior art for each application in a patent system such as GD and CCD

II. Promotion
The number of cases handled under CSP is less than half of the upper limit…
→ We expect the offices to promote utilization of CSP by simplifying application process. (ex. Single request) or an additional attractive offer, such as official fee discount

III. Search
Remarkable differences in consistency of the cited references between CSP and non-CSP. It’s coursed by language barrier at the search and difference of search strategy among the offices…?
→ We expect the office enable to global search by using new technology (AI)
We suggest the offices to standardize search process among 5 offices.